United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,676	02/27/2004	Peter Kennedy	APL1P298/P3207	7556
22434 BEYER WEA	.7590 03/03/2008 VERITE		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 70250			LESPERANCE, JEAN E	
OAKLAND, C	A 94612-0250		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
	•			
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•	•	03/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	Office Action Comments	10/789,676	KENNEDY, PETER	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jean E. Lesperance	2629	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1\⊠	Passonsive to communication(s) filed on	27 Fohruary 2004		
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.		
'=	Since this application is in condition for all		tors, prospecution as to the morits in	_
السارك	closed in accordance with the practice un	•	•	5
	closed in accordance with the practice diff	dei Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.L	7. 11, 433 0.3. 213.	
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applica	ation.		-
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2004	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bushee the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage	
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infon	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 10/25/05.	8) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

10/789,676 Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

1. The application filed February 27, 2004 is presented for examination and claims 1-20 are pending.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

10/789,676 Art Unit: 2629

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 18 is directed to a computer readable medium including at least a computer code executable by a computer without recited that the computer code is stored on a computer readable medium.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over USPN 5,252,951 ("Tannenbaum et al. ").

Regarding claim 1, Tannenbaum et al. teach the stroke across the <u>touch sensor</u> is collected as a time sequenced set of coordinates usually resembling a <u>shape</u> such as a circle or arrow which are deciphered by a gesture recognition unit (column 17, lines 59-62); if the stroke is recognized as one of the gestures in the stored library of shapes an action or series of actions will take place (column 17, lines 62-64); and the appropriate action is chosen from one of a plurality of interface profiles which match gestures, as well as other input, against corresponding commands (column 17, lines 65-67).

Regarding claim 2, Tannenbaum et al. teach the completed stroke is <u>compared</u> against all shapes found in the gesture libraries in the gesture recognition unit designated to be currently active (column 19, lines 40-42).

Regarding claim 3, Tannenbaum et al. teach the X gesture and its corresponding command, "type the <u>signature</u> block at the keyboard focus cursor", would be in a user profile, probably having a overriding priority (column 22, lines 62-65).

Regarding claim 4, Tannenbaum et al. teach the X gesture and its corresponding command, "type the <u>signature</u> block at the keyboard focus cursor", would be in a user profile, probably having a overriding priority (column 22, lines 62-65) wherein the user profile is a particular user.

Regarding claim 5, Tannenbaum et al. teach PM-link retrieves or computes the exact hot spot coordinates within the visual work space at 338, and then <u>compares</u> this position with the position and size of all objects displayed at 340 (column 19, lines 52-55) wherein PM-link is the program that is launched.

Regarding claim 6, Tannenbaum et al. teach a touch driver 63 can be developed to communicate coherently with the integrated operating environment 56, by converting input signals generated by the touch sensor 63 into mouse movements and mouse clicks, the capabilities of the touch input device 36 are limited by the repertoire of the mouse device (column 6, lines 24-29) wherein converting input signals represents decoding a message.

Regarding claim 7, Tannenbaum et al. teach the stroke across the <u>touch sensor</u> is collected as a time sequenced set of coordinates usually resembling a shape such as

Art Unit: 2629

a circle or arrow which are deciphered by a gesture recognition unit (column 17, lines 59-62); if the stroke is recognized as one of the gestures in the stored library of shapes an action or series of actions will take place (column 17, lines 62-64); and the appropriate action is chosen from one of a plurality of interface profiles which match gestures, as well as other input, against corresponding commands (column 17, lines 65-67).

Regarding claim 8, Tannenbaum et al. teach the stroke across the <u>touch sensor</u> is collected as a time sequenced set of coordinates usually resembling a <u>shape</u> such as a circle or arrow which are deciphered by a gesture recognition unit (column 17, lines 59-62); AUI must <u>recognize</u> the stroke 400 depicted in FIG. 14 as an up arrow gesture by mapping the collect points against the gestures stored in the gesture library of the gesture recognition unit (see Fig.14) and the appropriate action is chosen from one of a plurality of interface profiles which match gestures, as well as other input, against corresponding commands (column 17, lines 65-67).

Regarding claim 9 and 10, Tannenbaum et al. teach the user inputs data into the <u>computer</u> system via device A (keyboard) 32, device B (<u>mouse</u>) 34 or touch sensor 36 (see Fig.1).

Regarding claim 11 and 12, Tannenbaum et al. teach the stroke across the <u>touch</u> <u>sensor</u> is collected as a time sequenced set of coordinates usually resembling a <u>shape</u> such as a circle or arrow which are deciphered by a gesture recognition unit (column 17, lines 59-62). Wherein the shape is formed in the touch sensor in the recessed portion (see Fig.12).

1₀0/789,676 Art Unit: 2629

Regarding claim 13, Tannenbaum et al. teach the series of points collected when a user draws a circle on the touch sensor can be defined as the "circle token". Coupling the touch sensor 36 to integrated operating environment 56 allows the user to take advantage of application programs which are specifically written for the touch sensor 36 or other existing application programs for which only primitive mouse input signals are needed. Yet as the touch sensor 36 is also connected to AUI 100 through the gesture recognition unit 64 and the character recognition unit 65, application programs which do not recognize touch input can still accept gesture or character "tokens" due to the translation capabilities of the advanced user interface 100 (column 7, line 57 to column 8, line 4) wherein the circle represents the shape of the signet pattern from the touch signal.

Regarding claim 14, Tannenbaum et al. teach a gesture is created by the physical motion of a finger or stylus across the <u>touch sensor</u>. The stroke across the <u>touch sensor</u> is collected as a time sequenced set of coordinates usually resembling a <u>shape</u> such as a circle or arrow which are deciphered by a gesture recognition unit. If the stroke is recognized as one of the gestures in the stored library of shapes an action or series of actions will take place (column 17, lines 65-67) and the gesture recognition unit 64 <u>detects</u> a right arrow gesture from the <u>touch</u> sensor 36, it calls environment link 101 which determines the application program which owns the currently active window (see Fig.2).

Regarding claim 15, Tannenbaum et al. teach a touch sensor Fig.3 (36) and an AUI control panel Fig.3 (109).

10/789,676 Art Unit: 2629

Regarding claim 16, Tannenbaum et al. teach a touch input device is a <u>capacitive</u> transparent overlay placed over the display screen, which includes transparent conductors driven by an electromagnetic signal (column 2, lines 1-26).

Regarding claim 17, Tannenbaum et al. teach a touch driver 63 can be developed to communicate coherently with the integrated operating environment 56, by converting input signals generated by the touch sensor 63 into mouse movements and mouse clicks, the capabilities of the touch input device 36 are limited by the repertoire of the mouse device (column 6, lines 24-29).

Regarding claims 18 and 19, Tannenbaum et al. teach if the stroke is recognized as one of the gestures in the stored library of shapes an action or series of actions will take place (column 17, lines 62-64); the completed stroke is <u>compared</u> against all shapes found in the gesture libraries in the gesture recognition unit designated to be currently active (column 19, lines 40-42); and the appropriate action is chosen from one of a plurality of interface profiles which match gestures, as well as other input, against corresponding commands (column 17, lines 65-67).

Regarding claim 20, Tannenbaum et al. teach the user mechanically displaces one of the conductor planes to <u>touch</u> the other by a finger or stylus <u>touch</u>, thereby bringing the conductors into electrical <u>contact</u> with each other (column 2, lines 1-10); if the stroke is recognized as one of the gestures in the stored library of shapes an action or series of actions will take place (column 17, lines 62-64); the completed stroke is <u>compared</u> against all shapes found in the gesture libraries in the gesture recognition unit designated to be currently active (column 19, lines 40-42); the series of <u>points</u>

Art Unit: 2629

collected when a user draws a circle on the touch sensor can be defined as the "circle token". Coupling the touch sensor 36 to integrated operating environment 56 allows the user to take advantage of application programs which are specifically written for the touch sensor 36 or other existing application programs for which only primitive mouse input signals are needed. Yet as the touch sensor 36 is also connected to AUI 100 through the gesture recognition unit 64 and the character recognition unit 65, application programs which do not recognize touch input can still accept gesture or character "tokens" due to the translation capabilities of the advanced user interface 100 (column 7, line 57 to column 8, line 4) wherein the circle represents the shape of the signet pattern from the touch signal; and the appropriate action is chosen from one of a plurality of interface profiles which match gestures, as well as other input, against corresponding commands (column 17, lines 65-67).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the ably examiner should be directed to Jean Lesperance whose telephone number is (571) 272-7692. The examiner can normally be reached on from Monday to Friday between 10:OOAM and 6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shalwala Bipin, can be reached on (571) 272-7681.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Jean Lesperance

Art Unit 2629

Date 2/14/2008

BIPIN SHALWALA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY COUTER 2000